# Provability of Functionnal Reactive Programming type system

#### <u>Esaïe Bauer</u> and Alexis Saurin IRIF – Université de Paris – CNRS – INRIA

December 2, 2021

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

1/21

# 1 Introduction

- Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka -2013)
- Sinear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
- 4 Kripke semantic
- 5 Future works

# Introduction

- 2 Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka 2013)
- 3 Linear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
- 4 Kripke semantic
- 5 Future works

#### History

Eliott and Hudak introduced it in 1997 with Functionnal Reactive animation

#### History

Eliott and Hudak introduced it in 1997 with Functionnal Reactive animation

Program paradigm concerned by propagating a reactive input (such as stream) to ensure properties or modify values over time

#### History

Eliott and Hudak introduced it in 1997 with Functionnal Reactive animation

Program paradigm concerned by propagating a reactive input (such as stream) to ensure properties or modify values over time

Exemple: Spreadsheet, graphical interface, web app

### Connectives:

## $\bigcirc A \quad \diamondsuit A \quad \Box A \quad A \cup B$

Connectives:

## $\bigcirc A \qquad \diamondsuit A \qquad \Box A \qquad A \cup B$

Pnueli used temporal logic to reason on reactive programs in 1977

Connectives:

# $\bigcirc A \qquad \diamondsuit A \qquad \Box A \qquad A \cup B$

Pnueli used temporal logic to reason on reactive programs in 1977

Yuse and Igarashi use temporal logic to encode multi-level generating code extensions with persistent code

# 1 Introduction

- Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka -2013)
  - 3 Linear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
  - 4 Kripke semantic
  - 5 Future works

# Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka - 2013)

Functionnal Reactive Programming typed by a Linear Temporal Logic system

We will name this system FRP (for Fair Reactive Programming)

# Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka - 2013)

Functionnal Reactive Programming typed by a Linear Temporal Logic system

We will name this system FRP (for Fair Reactive Programming)

Types of FRP

$$\mathcal{F} ::= \mathcal{V} \mathsf{ar} \mid \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F} \land \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \mid \bigcirc \mathcal{F} \mid \mu X.F \mid \nu X.F \mid 1$$

# Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka - 2013)

Functionnal Reactive Programming typed by a Linear Temporal Logic system

We will name this system FRP (for Fair Reactive Programming)

Types of FRP

$$\mathcal{F} ::= \mathcal{V} \mathsf{ar} \mid \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F} \land \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \mid \bigcirc \mathcal{F} \mid \mu X.F \mid \nu X.F \mid 1$$

$$\Box A := \nu X.A \land \bigcirc X$$
$$\diamond A := \mu X.A \lor \bigcirc X$$
$$A \cup B := \mu X.(B \lor (A \land \bigcirc X))$$

#### • $t: \bigcirc A$ let •x = t in $t_2: C$

#### • $t: \bigcirc A$ let •x = t in $t_2: C$

Sequents	
	$\Theta$ ; $\Gamma \vdash A$

•
$$t: \bigcirc A$$
 let • $x = t$  in  $t_2: C$ 

Sequents	
	$\Theta$ ; $\Gamma \vdash A$

# Typing rules

$$\frac{; \Theta \vdash t : A}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \bullet t : \bigcirc A} \bigcirc_{i} \qquad \frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash t_{1} : \bigcirc A \qquad \Theta, x : A; \Gamma \vdash t_{2} : B}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \quad \bullet x = t_{1} \mathsf{ in } t_{2} : B} \bigcirc_{e}$$

# Causality

# Causality

$$f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1},\ldots)=f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1}',\ldots)$$
 at time  $n$ 

# Causality

# Causality

$$f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1},\ldots)=f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1}',\ldots)$$
 at time  $n$ 

 $\bigcirc A \rightarrow A$ 

should no be provable:

$$\mathsf{predictor1}(x:\bigcirc A) := \mathsf{let} \bullet x' = x \mathsf{ in } x'$$

# Causality

# Causality

$$f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1},\ldots)=f(s_1,\ldots,s_n,s_{n+1}',\ldots)$$
 at time  $n$ 

 $\bigcirc A \rightarrow A$ 

should no be provable:

predictor1(
$$x : \bigcirc A$$
) := let •  $x' = x$  in  $x'$ 

 $\bigcirc (A \lor B) \to \bigcirc A \lor \bigcirc B$ 

should not be provable:

predictor2 
$$(x : \bigcirc (A \lor B)) = \text{let } \bullet x' = x \text{ in case } x' \text{ of}$$
  
 $|\text{inl } a \to \text{inl } (\bullet a)$   
 $|\text{inr } b \to \text{inr } (\bullet b)$ 

9/21

#### $A \rightarrow \bigcirc A$

would not break causality, but we refute it anyway. (accepted in Krishnaswami and Benton 2011 but rejected in Krishnaswami 2013 paper for managing space)

### import $(x : A) = \bullet x$

### Type of Temporal Streams on $A : \Box A$ (namely $\nu X.A \land \bigcirc X$ )

### Type of Temporal Streams on $A : \Box A$ (namely $\nu X.A \land \bigcirc X$ )

coit app 
$$f a : \Box(A \to B) \to \Box A \to \Box B :=$$
  
let  $ha, hf = hd a, hd f$  in  
let  $\bullet ta, \bullet tf = tl a, tl f$  in  $(hf ha, \bullet app tf ta)$ 

# Introduction

- 2 Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka 2013)
- S Linear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
  - 4 Kripke semantic
  - 5 Future works

# Linear Temporal Logic from Kojima and Igarashi (LJ $^{\bigcirc}$ ) - 2011

No  $\diamondsuit, \square$  and fixpoint, only  $\bigcirc$  to deal with time

# Linear Temporal Logic from Kojima and Igarashi (LJ $^{\bigcirc}$ ) - 2011

No  $\diamondsuit, \square$  and fixpoint, only  $\bigcirc$  to deal with time

Sequents are made of formulas indexed with a natural number

# Linear Temporal Logic from Kojima and Igarashi (LJ $^{\bigcirc}$ ) - 2011

No  $\diamondsuit, \square$  and fixpoint, only  $\bigcirc$  to deal with time

Sequents are made of formulas indexed with a natural number

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^{n+1} \vdash B^m}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (\bigcirc A)^n \vdash B^m} \bigcirc_I \qquad \frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}} \vdash A^{n+1}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}} \vdash (\bigcirc A)^n} \bigcirc_r$$

## √-rule

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash C^m \quad \Gamma^{\vec{m}}, B^n \vdash C^m \quad n \leq m}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (A \lor B)^n \vdash C^m} \lor_I$$

### $\lor$ -rule

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash C^m \quad \Gamma^{\vec{m}}, B^n \vdash C^m \qquad n \leq m}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (A \lor B)^n \vdash C^m} \lor_I$$

Thanks to the side condition,  $\bigcirc(A \lor B) \to (\bigcirc A \lor \bigcirc B)$  is not provable in the system.



# Same sequents than $\mathsf{LJ}^\bigcirc.$



Same sequents than 
$$LJ^{\bigcirc}$$

### Additional side conditions:

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash C^m \quad \Gamma^{\vec{m}}, B^n \vdash C^m \quad n \le m, \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (A \lor B)^n \vdash C^m} \lor_I$$
$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash B^n \quad n \le \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}} \vdash (A \to B)^n} \to_r$$

<ロト <回ト < 言ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 の Q (\* 15 / 21



Same sequents than 
$$LJ^{\bigcirc}$$

### Additional side conditions:

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash C^m \quad \Gamma^{\vec{m}}, B^n \vdash C^m \quad n \le m, \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (A \lor B)^n \vdash C^m} \lor_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash B^n \quad n \leq \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}} \vdash (A \to B)^n} \to_r$$

 $\mu$  and  $\nu\text{-}{\rm free}$  FRP is provably equivalent to  ${\rm FRP}^{\bigcirc}.$ 



Same sequents than 
$$LJ^{\bigcirc}$$

#### Additional side conditions:

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash C^m \qquad \Gamma^{\vec{m}}, B^n \vdash C^m \qquad n \le m, \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, (A \lor B)^n \vdash C^m} \lor_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\vec{m}}, A^n \vdash B^n \quad n \leq \vec{m}}{\Gamma^{\vec{m}} \vdash (A \to B)^n} \to_r$$

 $\mu$  and  $\nu$ -free FRP is provably equivalent to FRP<sup>O</sup>.

 $\mathsf{FRP} \nvDash (\bigcirc A \to \bigcirc B) \to \bigcirc (A \to B)$ 

э

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

# Introduction

- 2 Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka 2013)
- 3 Linear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
- 4 Kripke semantic
- 5 Future works

## IM-frame

Quadruplet ( $W, \leq, R, \Vdash$ ) such that :

## IM-frame

Quadruplet  $(W, \leq, R, \Vdash)$  such that :

```
W is a non-empty set,
```

## IM-frame

Quadruplet  $(W, \leq, R, \Vdash)$  such that :

W is a non-empty set,

 $\leq$  is a partial order on W,
Quadruplet ( $W, \leq, R, \Vdash$ ) such that :

W is a non-empty set,

 $\leq$  is a partial order on W,

R is a binary relation on W,

Quadruplet ( $W, \leq, R, \Vdash$ ) such that :

W is a non-empty set,

 $\leq$  is a partial order on W,

R is a binary relation on W,

 $\Vdash$  is a relation between elements of W and propositionnal variables

Quadruplet  $(W, \leq, R, \Vdash)$  such that :

W is a non-empty set,

 $\leq$  is a partial order on W,

R is a binary relation on W,

 $\Vdash$  is a relation between elements of W and propositionnal variables

#### Interpretation of formulas

$$w \Vdash A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\forall w' \ge w, (w' \Vdash A) \Rightarrow (w' \Vdash B))$$

Quadruplet  $(W, \leq, R, \Vdash)$  such that :

W is a non-empty set,

 $\leq$  is a partial order on W,

R is a binary relation on W,

 $\Vdash$  is a relation between elements of W and propositionnal variables

#### Interpretation of formulas

$$w \Vdash A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\forall w' \ge w, (w' \Vdash A) \Rightarrow (w' \Vdash B))$$
$$w \Vdash \bigcirc A \Leftrightarrow (\forall v, w \mathrel{\mathsf{R}} v \Rightarrow v \Vdash A)$$

## Correctness and Completness

Two axioms: axiom 1:

$$(\leq, \mathsf{R}, \leq) = \mathsf{R}$$

axiom 2:

 $\forall w, v, w \ \mathsf{R} \ v \to (\exists w', w \le w' \ \mathsf{and} \ \forall u, (w' \ \mathsf{R} \ u) \Leftrightarrow (v \le u)$ 

## Correctness and Completness

Two axioms: axiom 1:

$$(\leq, \mathsf{R}, \leq) = \mathsf{R}$$

axiom 2:

$$\forall w, v, w \ \mathsf{R} \ v \to (\exists w', w \le w' \ \mathsf{and} \ \forall u, (w' \ \mathsf{R} \ u) \Leftrightarrow (v \le u)$$

Correctness and Completness for  $LJ^{\bigcirc}$  (Kojima and Igarashi - 2011) IM-frame together with axiom 1 and 2 are correct and complete relatively to  $LJ^{\bigcirc}$ .

# Correctness and Completness

Two axioms: axiom 1:

$$(\leq, \mathsf{R}, \leq) = \mathsf{R}$$

axiom 2:

$$\forall w, v, w \mathrel{\mathsf{R}} v \to (\exists w', w \le w' \text{ and } \forall u, (w' \mathrel{\mathsf{R}} u) \Leftrightarrow (v \le u)$$

Correctness and Completness for  $LJ^{\bigcirc}$  (Kojima and Igarashi - 2011) IM-frame together with axiom 1 and 2 are correct and complete relatively to  $LJ^{\bigcirc}$ .

### Correctnness and Completness for $\mathsf{FRP}^{\bigcirc}$

IM-frame satisfying axiom 1 are correct and complete relatively to  $\mathsf{FRP}^{\bigcirc}$ .















# Kripke models











### Introduction

- 2 Fair Reactive Programming (Cave, Ferreira, Panangaden and Pientka 2013)
- 3 Linear Temporal Logic (Kojima and Igarashi 2011)
- 4 Kripke semantic
- 5 Future works

### Extending our results to full FRP (with fixpoints)

### Extending our results to full FRP (with fixpoints)

Consider a classical setting for FRP ( $\lambda \mu - calculus$  from Parigot)